

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,741	04/03/2001	Arthur W. Zikorus	VNUS.501C1	4515	
20995 7590 07/23/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ROY, BAISAKHI		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/825,741 ZIKORUS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BAISAKHI ROY 3737 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/24/08. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.16.21.50-53.70-72 and 75-94 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7.16.21.50-53.70-72 and 75-94 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/08.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CS)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Amilication

Application/Control Number: 09/825,741

Art Unit: 3737

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 16, 21, 50-53, and 70-72, and
 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 16, 21, 50-53, and 70-72, and 75-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. (5556396) in view of Navarro et al. Cohen et al. disclose a method of electrically treating the sapheno-femoral junction by positioning a device for application of therapeutic energy to the anatomical structure and including feedback device for sensing a pre-selected parameter of the vessel (col. 2 lines 36-col. 3 line 44). Cohen et al. do not teach the use of a visual feedback device. In the same field of endeavor Navarro et al. disclose a method of positioning a catheter proximate to a junction in a hollow anatomical structure such as the sapheno-femoral junction of a patient by introducing a catheter into the hollow anatomical structure (col. 4 lines 39-49) and identifying the junction based on feedback from the catheter with the use of light emitted from a fiber optic device and an attribute of the light changes upon reaching the junction (col. 4 lines 50-65). The angiocatheter as taught in Navarro et al. is inserted with the help of the fiber optic line for visual guidance or feedback (col. 4

Application/Control Number: 09/825,741
Art Unit: 3737

lines 32-43). The method further involves applying energy to the hollow structure at the treatment site via an energy application device at the working end of the catheter so as to lead to a reduced diameter for the hollow structure or occlude the region of interest (col. 5 lines 7-39). The reference also teaches the step of introducing the catheter over a guide wire with a tip located at the distal end of the guide wire or located at the working end of the catheter wherein the guide wire traverses a lumen in the catheter and the tip is adapatable to engage the junction of the anatomical structure while the catheter travels over the guide wire to the junction where it "wedges" against the junction (col. 4 lines 39-43). The tip of the guide wire is rounded to enable the operator to more easily control the amount of vein to be treated (col. 4 lines 59-65). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Navarro et al. to modify the teaching by Cohen et al. to accommodate a visual feedback system of the treatment site.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/825,741

Art Unit: 3737

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BAISAKHI ROY whose telephone number is (571)272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian L Casler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 Application/Control Number: 09/825,741

Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

/B. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 3737